



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

107  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,477	07/11/2003	Steven Roy Lipscomb	320400-00004	3454
7590	04/29/2005		EXAMINER	
			COLLINS, DOLORES R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3711	
DATE MAILED: 04/29/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/617,477	LIPSCOMB ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Dolores R. Collins	3711	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

**A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.**

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 April 2005.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                            2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) Claim(s) 1-3,5 and 7-14 is/are pending in the application.
  - 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-3,5 and 7-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
    1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Response to Amendment***

Examiner acknowledges response by applicant's representative received 4/12/05. Examiner further acknowledges the cancellation of claims 4 & 6. Based on applicant's amendments to claims 9 & 10, these claims are no longer considered allowable.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Orenstein (054).

Orenstein discloses a Poker Tournament.

Regarding claim 1

Orenstein teaches a poker tournament which uses a table which teaches the limitations of this claim. With regards to the light source, any lamp on the table or close by would suffice.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1-3, 5, 7-8, 11-12 & 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shirley, Jr. (640).

Shirley, Jr. discloses an Illuminated Pool Game Table.

Regarding claim 1

Shirley teaches the limitations of this claim except he fails to explicitly teach a poker table. Shirley however teaches, in col. 5, lines 36-61, that his invention may be employed in various other functions assembly and use and further teaches a surface (32) that is 'configured'

for a poker tournament. Further, no structure or indicia is set forth in this claim. See MPEP 2112.

Regarding claim 2

Shirley teaches a table that meets the limitations of this claim. No specific structure is claimed by the limitations of this claim (see MPEP 2112). Any portion of the table meets the claim limitations.

Regarding claim 3

See figure 3, wherein the area adjacent “48” inherently defines a trough.

Regarding claim 5

See figures 1 and col. 5, lines 7-17.

Regarding claim 7

Any portion of the bumper (46) is an armrest (see MPEP 2112).

Regarding claim 8

Shirley teaches surface (32) that is ‘configured’ for a poker tournament. No structure or indicia is set forth in this claim (see MPEP 2112).

Regarding claim 10

See figure 3, wherein the area adjacent “48” inherently defines a trough. Figure 4, shows the base of the adjacent area/light unit/trough extending from the playing surface.

Regarding claim 11

Shirley inherently teaches access holes to allow lights (48) to be changed.

Regarding claim 12

Shirley teaches in figure 3 (52) a means to vent heat and light from the bulbs.

Regarding claim 14

Shirley teaches a transparent peripheral bumper, i.e., a light window (46). Regarding the light source and trough, see figure 3, wherein the area adjacent “48” (i.e., light source) inherently defines a trough.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shirley, Jr. (640).

Regarding claim 9

See figure 3, wherein the area adjacent "48" inherently defines a trough. Regarding the shape of the trough, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the trough whatever shape was desired or expedient. A change in form or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

3. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shirley, Jr. (640) in view of Chao et al. (439).

Regarding claim 13

Shirley fails to explicitly teach the use of fluorescent lights. Chao teaches the use of fluorescent lights (see figure 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Shirley to use whatever light source as desired since such would only involve routine skill in the art.

***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Further applicant has based his arguments on the claims as amended and not as previously presented.

***Conclusion***

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and are cited to show the state of art with respect to features of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Dolores R. Collins** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-4421**. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00 A.M. - 4:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Greg Vidovich** can be reached on **(571) 272-4415**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **703-872-9306**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at **866-217-9197** (toll-free).



GREGORY VIDOVICH  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

  
\*\*\*

April 22, 2005